

Approved

**NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS**

Commissioners Court

FEB 06 2017

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

PROJECT TYPE/PRIORITY - Total Points 45

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Water, Wastewater, Septic Tanks, Water/Wastewater Yard Lines,
Roads, Streets and Drainage | 45 points |
| 2. All Other Projects | 15 points |

Data Source:	<u>Table 1</u>
Project Type:	<u>Water</u>

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

NEED/DISTRESS - Total Points 67.5

1. What is the poverty percentage rate of the census geographic area? (30 pts max)

Data Source: Population and Poverty Rate: 2014 U.S. Census American Communities
Survey 5 year estimate -- Table B17001
Census Geographic Area: 2010 Census map

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

Total Population of the Census Geographic Area:

7,071

Census Geographic Area Poverty Rate:


16.43%


CT 1301 BG 2;

Target Area(s) identified on Census Map (attach
map):

CT 1303.02 BG's 1 & 3;

CT 1305 BG' 1 & 3





B01003 **TOTAL POPULATION**
Universe: Total population
2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:
 2015
 2014
 2013

	Block Group 2, Census Tract 1301, Johnson County, Texas		Block Group 1, Census Tract 1303.02, Johnson County, Texas		Block Group 3, Census Tract 1303.02, Johnson County, Texas		Block Group 1, Census Tract 1305, Johnson County, Texas		Block Group 4, Census Tract 1305, Johnson County, Texas	
	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
1 - 1 of 1	1,627	+/-261	1,639	+/-447	2,506	+/-402	1,023	+/-351	751	+/-235
Total										

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Explanation of Symbols:

- An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
- An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
- An '.' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
- An 'v' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
- An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
- An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
- An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
- An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the

CT	BG	Population	Poverty
1305	1	1023	74
1305	4	669	167
1301	2	1627	141
1303.02	1	1515	419
1303.02	3	2237	361
		7071	1162
			16.43%
			% Poverty

B17021

POVERTY STATUS OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined
2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:

2015
2014
2013

		Block Group 3, Census Tract 1303.02, Johnson County, Texas	
		Estimate	Margin of Error
1			
35			
of			
35			
	Total:	2,237	+/-371
	Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	361	+/-191
	In family households:	318	+/-197
	In married couple families:	33	+/-75
	All relatives	33	+/-75
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	In other families:	285	+/-182
	Male householder, no wife present:	0	+/-13
	All relatives	0	+/-13
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	Female householder, no husband present:	285	+/-182
	All relatives	285	+/-182
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	In non-family households and other living arrangement:	43	+/-30
	Householder:	21	+/-19
	Living alone	15	+/-15
	Not living alone	6	+/-11
	Other living arrangement	22	+/-25
	Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	1,876	+/-345
	In family households:	1,476	+/-374
	In married couple families:	849	+/-270
	All relatives	849	+/-270
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	In other families:	627	+/-333
	Male householder, no wife present:	174	+/-184
	All relatives	174	+/-184
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	Female householder, no husband present:	453	+/-272
	All relatives	415	+/-278
	Non-relatives	38	+/-51
	In non-family households and other living arrangement:	400	+/-146
	Householder:	330	+/-114
	Living alone	269	+/-104
	Not living alone	61	+/-51

B17021

POVERTY STATUS OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT**Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined
2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:

2015
2014
2013

1 - 35 of 35	Block Group 1, Census Tract 1305, Johnson County, Texas	
	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,023	+/-351
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	74	+/-75
In family households:	24	+/-37
In married couple families:	24	+/-37
All relatives	24	+/-37
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In other families:	0	+/-13
Male householder, no wife present:	0	+/-13
All relatives	0	+/-13
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
Female householder, no husband present:	0	+/-13
All relatives	0	+/-13
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	50	+/-66
Householder:	41	+/-65
Living alone	41	+/-65
Not living alone	0	+/-13
Other living arrangement	9	+/-17
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	949	+/-353
In family households:	883	+/-357
In married couple families:	790	+/-352
All relatives	790	+/-352
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In other families:	93	+/-107
Male householder, no wife present:	0	+/-13
All relatives	0	+/-13
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
Female householder, no husband present:	93	+/-107
All relatives	93	+/-107
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	66	+/-50
Householder:	66	+/-50
Living alone	56	+/-47
Not living alone	10	+/-17
Other living arrangement	0	+/-13

B17021

POVERTY STATUS OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined
2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:

**2015
2014
2013**

1 - 35 of 35	Block Group 4, Census Tract 1305, Johnson County, Texas	
	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	669	+/-207
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	167	+/-113
In family households:	153	+/-112
In married couple families:	91	+/-84
All relatives	91	+/-84
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In other families:	62	+/-55
Male householder, no wife present:	10	+/-14
All relatives	5	+/-9
Non-relatives	5	+/-7
Female householder, no husband present:	52	+/-54
All relatives	52	+/-54
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	14	+/-21
Householder:	11	+/-18
Living alone	11	+/-18
Not living alone	0	+/-13
Other living arrangement	3	+/-6
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	502	+/-195
In family households:	392	+/-197
In married couple families:	254	+/-177
All relatives	254	+/-177
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In other families:	138	+/-105
Male householder, no wife present:	61	+/-68
All relatives	61	+/-68
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
Female householder, no husband present:	77	+/-77
All relatives	65	+/-66
Non-relatives	12	+/-15
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	110	+/-51
Householder:	88	+/-40
Living alone	65	+/-37
Not living alone	23	+/-20
Other living arrangement	22	+/-21

B17021

POVERTY STATUS OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined
2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:
2015
2014
2013

1 35 of 35	Block Group 2, Census Tract 1301, Johnson County, Texas	
	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,627	+/-261
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	141	+/-119
In family households:	95	+/-107
In married couple families:	48	+/-77
All relatives	48	+/-77
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In other families:	47	+/-75
Male householder, no wife present:	47	+/-75
All relatives	39	+/-62
Non-relatives	8	+/-13
Female householder, no husband present:	0	+/-13
All relatives	0	+/-13
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	46	+/-51
Householder:	25	+/-27
Living alone	25	+/-27
Not living alone	0	+/-13
Other living arrangement	21	+/-45
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	1,486	+/-254
In family households:	1,328	+/-277
In married couple families:	1,275	+/-284
All relatives	1,275	+/-284
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In other families:	53	+/-46
Male householder, no wife present:	0	+/-13
All relatives	0	+/-13
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
Female householder, no husband present:	53	+/-46
All relatives	53	+/-46
Non-relatives	0	+/-13
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	158	+/-137
Householder:	103	+/-79
Living alone	58	+/-56
Not living alone	45	+/-53
Other living arrangement	55	+/-69

B17021

POVERTY STATUS OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT**Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined
2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:

2015
2014
2013

		Block Group 1, Census Tract 1303.02, Johnson County, Texas	
		Estimate	Margin of Error
1 - 35 of 35	Total:	1,515	+/-423
	Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	419	+/-245
	In family households:	273	+/-235
	In married couple families:	179	+/-182
	All relatives	179	+/-182
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	In other families:	94	+/-123
	Male householder, no wife present:	77	+/-124
	All relatives	66	+/-130
	Non-relatives	11	+/-17
	Female householder, no husband present:	17	+/-24
	All relatives	8	+/-18
	Non-relatives	9	+/-13
	In non-family households and other living arrangement:	146	+/-83
	Householder:	42	+/-37
	Living alone	35	+/-34
	Not living alone	7	+/-14
	Other living arrangement	104	+/-71
	Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	1,096	+/-356
	In family households:	736	+/-319
	In married couple families:	550	+/-294
	All relatives	550	+/-294
	Non-relatives	0	+/-13
	In other families:	186	+/-111
	Male householder, no wife present:	79	+/-64
	All relatives	66	+/-62
	Non-relatives	13	+/-26
	Female householder, no husband present:	107	+/-95
	All relatives	95	+/-82
	Non-relatives	12	+/-16
	In non-family households and other living arrangement:	360	+/-139
	Householder:	319	+/-117
	Living alone	213	+/-110
	Not living alone	106	+/-70

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

NEED/DISTRESS - Total Points 67.5

2. What is the per capita income of the census geographic area? (22.5 pts max)

Data Source: Per Capita Income: 2014 U.S. Census American Communities Survey 5 year
estimate Data -- Table B19301

OR

If geographic area contains more than one Census Tract: SF 1 P1 and B19313.
Census Geographic Area: 2010 Census map.

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

Per Capita Income for Census Geographic Area:

\$24,508

CT 1301 BG 2:

CT 1303.02 BG's 1 & 3:

Target Area(s) identified on 2010 Census Map (attach map):

CT 1305 BG' 1 &

CT	BG	PCI	Popualtion	Total	
1305	1	\$ 36,774	1023	\$ 37,619,802	
1305	4	\$ 15,091	751	\$ 11,333,341	
1301	2	\$ 30,961	1627	\$ 50,373,547	
1303.02	1	\$ 17,827	1639	\$ 29,218,453	
1303.02	3	\$ 22,504	2506	\$ 56,395,024	
			7546	\$ 184,940,167	\$ 24,508
					Avg PCI

B19301

PER CAPITA INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2014 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)**Universe: Total population****2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years: 2015 2014 2013	1 - 1 of 1	Block Group 1, Census Tract 1303.02, Johnson County, Texas	
		Estimate	Margin of Error
		Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2014 Inflation-adjusted dollars)	17,827

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Explanation of Symbols:

An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.

An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

B19301

PER CAPITA INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2014 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)

Universe: Total population

2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:
 2015
 2014
 2013

		Block Group 3, Census Tract 1303.02, Johnson County, Texas	
		Estimate	Margin of Error
1	1		
1	1		
of	1	Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2014 Inflation-adjusted dollars)	22,504 +/-2,926

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Explanation of Symbols:

An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.

An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

B19301

PER CAPITA INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2014 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)

Universe: Total population

2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:
2015
2014
2013

		Block Group 2, Census Tract 1301, Johnson County, Texas	
		Estimate	Margin of Error
1	1		
1	1		
of	1	Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2014 inflation-adjusted dollars)	30,961 +/-4,549

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Explanation of Symbols:

An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.

An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

B19301

PER CAPITA INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2014 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)**Universe: Total population****2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates**

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

<p>Versions of this table are available for the following years:</p> <p>2015</p> <p>2014</p> <p>2013</p>	1 - 1 of 1	Block Group 1, Census Tract 1305, Johnson County, Texas	
		Estimate	Margin of Error
		Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2014 Inflation-adjusted dollars)	36,774 +/-11,182

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Explanation of Symbols:

An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.

An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

B19301

PER CAPITA INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2014 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)

Universe: Total population

2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Data and Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

<p>Versions of this table are available for the following years:</p> <p>2015</p> <p>2014</p> <p>2013</p>	<p>1 - 1 of 1</p>	<p>Block Group 4, Census Tract 1305, Johnson County, Texas</p>	
		<p>Estimate</p>	<p>Margin of Error</p>
		<p>Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2014 inflation-adjusted dollars)</p>	<p>15,091</p>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Explanation of Symbols:

An '***' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

An '*****' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.

An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2010-2014 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

NEED/DISTRESS - Total Points 67.5

3. What is the unemployment rate based on the appropriate county data? (15 pts max)

Data Source: TWC Tracer for 2015 Annual Data as provided on the TDA website

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

County Unemployment Rate for 2015 Annual Data: Johnson County: 4.4%

**2017-2018 Community Development (CD) Fund
Unemployment Rates**

County	Unemp Rate	County	Unemp Rate	County	Unemp Rate	County	Unemp Rate	County	Unemp Rate
Anderson	4	Crosby	4.4	Henderson	4.9	McCulloch	4.8	Somervell	5
Andrews	3.5	Culberson	4.4	Hidalgo	7.9	McLennan	4.1	Starr	13.6
Angelina	5.6	Dallam	2.2	Hill	4.6	McMullen	2.1	Stephens	4.2
Aransas	5.1	Dallas	4.3	Hockley	4.2	Medina	4.4	Sterling	3.5
Archer	4.4	Dawson	4.6	Hood	4.5	Menard	5.2	Stonewall	4.4
Armstrong	2.7	Deaf Smith	3.2	Hopkins	4.2	Midland	3.5	Sutton	6
Atascosa	4.8	Delta	4.6	Houston	4.5	Milam	5.3	Swisher	4.8
Austin	4.6	Denton	3.6	Howard	4.7	Mills	3.6	Tarrant	4.2
Bailey	4.3	DeWitt	4	Hudspeth	5.8	Mitchell	5.7	Taylor	3.7
Bandera	4	Dickens	4.7	Hunt	4.7	Montague	4.3	Terrell	4.9
Bastrop	3.9	Dimmit	4.7	Hutchinson	4.5	Montgomery	4.3	Terry	4.7
Baylor	3.6	Donley	4.3	Irion	3.7	Moore	3.2	Throckmorton	3.3
Bee	6.3	Duval	8.2	Jack	4.3	Morris	8.9	Titus	5.8
Bell	4.7	Eastland	4.8	Jackson	3.8	Motley	3.7	Tom Green	4.1
Bexar	3.8	Ector	4.6	Jasper	7.5	Nacogdoches	4.7	Travis	3.3
Blanco	3.1	Edwards	4.7	Jeff Davis	3.3	Navarro	4.3	Trinity	6
Borden	2.9	El Paso	5.2	Jefferson	7	Newton	7.5	Tyler	7.1
Bosque	4.5	Ellis	4	Jim Hogg	7.2	Nolan	4.1	Upshur	5.3
Bowie	4.8	Erath	3.9	Jim Wells	7.9	Nueces	4.9	Upton	3.9
Brazoria	4.6	Falls	4.3	Johnson	4.4	Ochiltree	4.3	Uvalde	5.1
Brazos	3.4	Fannin	4.2	Jones	5.5	Oldham	3	Val Verde	6.1
Brewster	4	Fayette	3.4	Karnes	4.3	Orange	6.5	Van Zandt	4.5
Briscoe	3.8	Fisher	3.9	Kaufman	4.1	Palo Pinto	5.3	Victoria	4.1
Brooks	10	Floyd	5.6	Kendall	3.3	Panola	5.2	Walker	5.1
Brown	4.4	Foard	4.3	Kenedy	2.8	Parker	4.2	Waller	4.8
Burleson	4.4	Fort Bend	4.3	Kent	2.6	Parmer	2.5	Ward	4.3
Burnet	3.7	Franklin	5	Kerr	3.7	Pecos	5.2	Washington	4.8
Caldwell	4.3	Freestone	5.4	Kimble	3.7	Polk	6.1	Webb	4.7
Calhoun	3.9	Frio	3.8	King	3.2	Potter	3.4	Wharton	4.4
Callahan	4.3	Gaines	3.2	Kinney	6.6	Presidio	10.8	Wheeler	3.9
Cameron	7.1	Galveston	5	Kleberg	6	Rains	4.6	Wichita	4.3
Camp	6.2	Garza	3.7	Knox	3.8	Randall	2.9	Wilbarger	4.9
Carson	3.2	Gillespie	2.9	La Salle	4.1	Reagan	4.6	Willacy	13.1
Cass	6.9	Glasscock	2.9	Lamar	4.8	Real	5.2	Williamson	3.5
Castro	3.5	Goliad	4.4	Lamb	9	Red River	6.4	Wilson	3.8
Chambers	5.3	Gonzales	3.7	Lampasas	4.4	Reeves	4.8	Winkler	5.7
Cherokee	5.2	Gray	5.7	Lavaca	3.8	Refugio	5.3	Wise	4.6
Childress	3.2	Grayson	4	Lee	3.6	Roberts	4.2	Wood	5.3
Clay	4.4	Gregg	5	Leon	5.3	Robertson	4.8	Yoakum	3.8
Cochran	5.1	Grimes	5.4	Liberty	6.9	Rockwall	3.8	Young	4.3
Coke	4.1	Guadalupe	3.6	Limestone	5.1	Runnels	3.7	Zapata	7.6
Coleman	5.6	Hale	6.4	Lipscomb	3.9	Rusk	4.7	Zavala	11.1
Collin	3.6	Hall	5.5	Live Oak	4.1	Sabine	9.4		
Collingsworth	3.6	Hamilton	4.7	Llano	4.3	San Augustine	9.2		
Colorado	4	Hansford	3	Loving	5.1	San Jacinto	5.7		
Comal	3.7	Hardeman	5	Lubbock	3.4	San Patricio	6.5		
Comanche	4.3	Hardin	5.6	Lynn	4	San Saba	3.6		
Concho	3.3	Harris	4.6	Madison	4.3	Schleicher	4.7		
Cooke	3.7	Harrison	5.3	Marion	6.5	Scurry	4.1		
Coryell	4.8	Hartley	2.1	Martin	3.5	Shackelford	2.8		
Cottle	7.1	Haskell	3.5	Mason	3.8	Shelby	5.3		
Crane	5.8	Hays	3.4	Matagorda	6.8	Sherman	2.9		
Crockett	5.9	Hemphill	3.2	Maverick	10.7	Smith	4.5		

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

RESOURCES (MATCH/COST EFFECTIVENESS/FINANCIAL CAPACITY) - Total Points 67.5

1. What is the applicant's match amount? (15 pts max)

Data Source: SF 424 and Resolution; provide letter(s) of commitment from 3rd party if match is coming from a 3rd party

Applicant Population: 2010 Census Data Summary File 1 -- Table P1

County Beneficiaries: CD Application Table 1 Verified by TDA

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

Applicant Population:	150,934
County Beneficiaries:	278
Applicant TxCDBG Amount:	\$275,000
Applicant Match from All Sources:	\$13,750

P1

TOTAL POPULATION
Universe: Total population
2010 Census Summary File 1

NOTE: For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/sf1.pdf>.

Versions of this table are available for the following years:
2010 ▶
2000

		Johnson County, Texas
1	Total	150,934
1	of	
1		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

RESOURCES (MATCH/COST EFFECTIVENESS/FINANCIAL CAPACITY) - Total Points 67.5

2. What is the cost per beneficiary? (7.5 pts max)

- Cost per beneficiary less than or equal to \$5,000 **7.5 Points**
- Cost per beneficiary greater than \$5,000 **0 Points**

Data Source: CD Application Table 1 Verified By TDA

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

Total No. of Beneficiaries:	<u>278</u>
Total Project Amount TxCDBG Only:	<u>\$275,000</u>
Total Cost Per Beneficiary (TxCDBG Only):	<u>\$989</u>

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

RESOURCES (MATCH/COST EFFECTIVENESS/FINANCIAL CAPACITY) - Total Points 67.5

3. Has applicant been funded in 2011-12, 2013-14 or 2015-16 ? (30 pts max)
- No previous funding within 3 previous CD/CDS cycles 30 Points
 - If funded one (1) time in 3 previous CD/CDS cycles 22.5 Points
 - If funded two (2) times in 3 previous CD/CDS cycles 15 Points
 - If funded three (3) times in 3 previous CD/CDS cycles 7.5 Points

Data Source: TDA Tracking System Report

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

Funded in Previous 1, 2 or 3 CD/CDS cycles:

2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016
Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
List Contract No. _____	List Contract No. 7214241 _____	List Contract No. _____

2011	<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Request Amount</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Contract Number</u>
	Alvarado	CD	\$275,000		
	Anna	CD	\$275,000		
	Aurora	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711019
	Bardwell	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711021
	Barry	CD	\$275,000		
	Blooming Grove	CD	\$275,000		
	Blue Ridge	CD	\$275,000		
	Boyd	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711051
	Bridgeport	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711061
	Caddo Mills	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712049
	Campbell	CD	\$262,500		
	Celina	CD	\$275,000		
	Cleburne	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711130
	Commerce	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711131
	Cool	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711140
	Corsicana	CD	\$275,000		
	Crandall	CD	\$209,475		
	Cresson	CD	\$275,000		
	Dawson	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712080
	Dublin	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711169
	Emhouse	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712101
	Farmersville	CD	\$275,000		
	Ferris	CD	\$275,000		
	Garrett	CD	\$275,000		
	Godley	CD	\$275,000		
	Goodlow	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711211
	Graford	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711219
	Greenville	CD	\$275,000		
	Hackberry	CD	\$204,674	Yes	712131
	Hawk Cove	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712139
	Italy	CD	\$275,000		
	Josephine	CD	\$275,000		
	Kaufman	CD	\$268,113		
	Kaufman County	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711290
	Kemp	CD	\$275,000		
	Kerens	CD	\$253,500	Yes	712159
	Krum	CD	\$185,400		
	Lakewood Village	CD	\$275,000		
	Lipan	CD	\$275,000		
	Lone Oak	CD	\$275,000		
	Maypearl	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712229
	Milford	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711341
	Newark	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712259

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Request Amount</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Contract Number</u>
Palmer	CD	\$275,000		
Palo Pinto County	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712270
Parker County	CD	\$181,000		
Pelican Bay	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711369
Pilot Point	CD	\$275,000		
Princeton	CD	\$275,000		
Red Oak	CD	\$275,000		
Reno (Parker County)	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712311
Rhome	CD	\$275,000		
Rice	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712319
Rio Vista	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712320
Roanoke	CD	\$275,000		
Sanger	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712340
Springtown	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711451
Stephenville	CD	\$250,000		
Strawn	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712351
Terrell	CD	\$275,000	Yes	712360
Tolar	CD	\$275,000		
Venus	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711491
West Tawakoni	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711509
Weston	CD	\$186,777		
Wolfe City	CD	\$275,000	Yes	711511
2013				
Alvarado	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713001
Anna	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214349
Aubrey	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214011
Aurora	CD	\$275,000		
Bardwell	CD	\$273,790	Yes	713020
Barry	CD	\$275,000		
Blooming Grove	CD	\$275,000		
Boyd	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214041
Bridgeport	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713050
Campbell	CD	\$275,000		
Celeste	CD	\$237,500	Yes	713070
Celina	CD	\$275,000		
Chico	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713080
Cool	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214090
Corsicana	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713101
Crandall	CD	\$275,000		
Cresson	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214100
Dawson	CD	\$275,000		
Dublin	CD	\$275,000		
Ellis County	CD	\$274,500	Yes	713159
Emhouse	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214150

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Request Amount</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Contract Number</u>
Farmersville	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214160
Ferris	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713170
Frost	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713171
Garrett	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214181
Godley	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214190
Goodlow	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214191
Graford	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214199
Grandview	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713191
Greenville	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713200
Hackberry	CD	\$200,833	Yes	7214209
Hawk Cove	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713210
Hood County	CD	\$275,000		
Hunt County	CD	\$500,000	Yes	713231
Italy	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713239
Johnson County	CD	\$500,000	Yes	7214241
Josephine	CD	\$275,000		
Kaufman	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713261
Kaufman County	CD	\$275,000		
Kemp	CD	\$275,000		
Kerens	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214259
Lone Oak	CD	\$275,000		
Mabank	CD	\$266,500	Yes	713310
Maypearl	CD	\$275,000		
Melissa	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214299
Milford	CD	\$263,000		
Newark	CD	\$275,000		
Palmer	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713360
Palo Pinto County	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214340
Pelican Bay	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713531
Pilot Point	CD	\$275,000		
Princeton	CD	\$275,000		
Quinlan	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214361
Reno (Parker County)	CD	\$264,000		
Rice	CD	\$275,000		
Rio Vista	CD	\$215,000		
Springtown	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214430
Stephenville	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713450
Strawn	CD	\$275,000		
Terrell	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7214440
Tolar	CD	\$275,000	Yes	713461
Venus	CD	\$275,000		
West Tawakoni	CD	\$275,000		
2015				
Alma	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215000

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Request Amount</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Contract Number</u>
Alvarado	CD	\$256,200	Yes	7216001
Anna	CD	\$275,000		
Aurora	CD	\$275,000		
Bardwell	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215021
Barry	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215029
Blooming Grove	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216049
Bridgeport	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216060
Caddo Mills	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215059
Campbell	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216090
Celeste	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215061
Celina	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216091
Chico	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216099
Commerce	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215091
Corsicana	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216110
Crandall	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216111
Cresson	CD	\$275,000		
Dawson	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215110
Dublin	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215130
Ellis County	CD	\$265,000		
Emhouse	CD	\$275,000		
Ennis	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215159
Frost	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215170
Glen Rose	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216181
Graford	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216191
Greenville	CD	\$275,000		
Hackberry	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216201
Hood County	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215220
Hunt County	CD	\$275,000		
Italy	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215250
Josephine	CD	\$275,000		
Joshua	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216249
Kaufman County	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216250
Kemp	CD	\$275,000		
Kerens	CD	\$200,000	Yes	7216269
Lipan	CD	\$275,000		
Little Elm	CD	\$275,000		
Lone Oak	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215301
Mabank	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215319
Maypearl	CD	\$275,000		
Milford	CD	\$275,000		
Newark	CD	\$275,000		
Palmer	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215361
Palo Pinto County	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216359
Paradise	CD	\$275,000		
Pelican Bay	CD	\$275,000		

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Request Amount</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Contract Number</u>
Pilot Point	CD	\$275,000		
Princeton	CD	\$275,000		
Reno (Parker County)	CD	\$275,000		
Rice	CD	\$275,000		
Rio Vista	CD	\$245,000		
Rosser	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215440
Scurry	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216410
Springtown	CD	\$275,000		
Stephenville	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216429
Strawn	CD	\$500,000	Yes	7215489
Tolar	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216450
Venus	CD	\$263,010	Yes	7216470
West Tawakoni	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7216481
Wolfe City	CD	\$275,000	Yes	7215521

Total Applications Received 188

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING FACTORS

2017-2018 Texas Community Development Block Grant Program

Applicant: County of Johnson

RESOURCES (MATCH/COST EFFECTIVENESS/FINANCIAL CAPACITY) - Total Points 45

4. Is this project funding to provide first-time public water or sewer? (15 pts max)

- More than 25 first-time public water or sewer service connections **15 Points**
- 11 to 25 first-time public water or sewer service connections **7.5 Points**
- 1 to 10 first-time public water or sewer service connections **3 Points**

Data Source: CD Application Table 1 Verified By TDA

Information Needed From Applicant to Score:

No. of First-Time Connections: 0